05/20/2004 12:40 FAX 2155686499 @ 012/016

Applicant: Falone et al.

**Application No.:** 10/659,560

REMARKS

Claims 3-8 are currently pending in this application. Claims 1 and 2 have been

cancelled and claims 3, 4, and 6 have been amended into independent form to include

each of the elements of their respective independent claim. The scope of claims 3, 4,

and 6 has not been changed.

No new matter is added to the application by this amendment.

COMMUNICATION WITH EXAMINER

During a May 19, 2004 telephone communication between Examiner Graham

and Ruy Garcia-Zamor the status of claims 3-8 were discussed. Examiner Graham

stated that claims 3-8 were not rejected over prior art because they would be allowable

once the non-statutory double patenting rejections were overcome. Applicants thank

Examiner Graham for the courtesies extended during the telephone communication.

NON-STATUTORY DOUBLE PATENTING REJECTIONS

Claims 1-8 have been rejected based on obviousness-type double patenting based

on a prior patent and have been provisionally rejected based on obviousness-type

double patenting based on copending applications. Applicants respectfully submit that

the rejections of claims 1 and 2 are most due to cancellation of those claims. Enclosed

-7-

PAGE 12/16 \* RCVD AT 5/20/2004 12:35:10 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/1 \* DNIS:8729306 \* C8ID:2155686499 \* DURATION (mm-ss):05-32

· 05/20/2004 12:40 FAX 2155686499

Ø013/016

Applicant: Falone et al.

**Application No.: 10/659,560** 

herewith are two separate terminal disclaimers. One terminal disclaimer is directed to

the prior patent and the second terminal disclaimer is directed to the copending

applications.

In view of the two terminal disclaimers submitted herewith, Applicants

respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the provisional and

non provisional non-obvious double patenting rejections of claims 3-8.

**CLAIM REJECTIONS** 

Claims 1 and 2 have been rejected based on prior art. Applicant respectfully

submits that the rejections of claims 1 and 2 are most due to cancellation of those

claims. Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw

the rejections of claims 1 and 2, in view of their cancellation.

INVITATION

If the examiner believes that any additional matters need to be addressed to

place this application in condition for allowance, the examiner is respectfully invited to

contact the undersigned, by telephone, at the examiner's convenience.

-8-

Applicant: Falone et al. **Application No.: 10/659,560** 

## CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that the present application, including claims 3-8, is in condition for allowance and a notice to that effect is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Falone et al.

Ruy M. Garcia-Zam Registration No. 44,117

(215) 568-6400

Volpe and Koenig, P.C. United Plaza, Suite 1600 30 South 17th Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

RGZ